**Assessment Form Master Thesis Psychology A**

**First examiner**

**Name Student:**  

**Student ID:**  

**Master Programme**  MSc Psychology / MSc Psychology (research)  

**Master Specialisation:**  

**Course code (see next page):**  

**number of ECs:** 20

---

**A. Name first examiner**  

Institute of Psychology, Unit  

---

**B. Name second examiner**  

Institute of Psychology, Unit  

---

**C. Name external supervisor** (if applicable):  

**Affiliation:**  

**Assessment form C attached** yes / no

---

**Title thesis:**  

---

**Checked for plagiarism (Turnitin / Ephorus)** yes / no

**Approval by the Committee Ethics Psychology or the Committee Medical Ethics (LUMC)**  

**Number:**  

**Date of approval:**  

---

**Final grade in consultation with second examiner:**  

**Written:**  

**Date:**  

**Name first examiner**  

**Name second examiner**  

**Signature**  

**Signature**  

---
Course Codes for uSis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6464THEAPY</td>
<td>Applied Cognitive Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THECAY</td>
<td>Child and Adolescent Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THECNY</td>
<td>Clinical Neuropsychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THECPY</td>
<td>Clinical Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THEEPY</td>
<td>Economic and Consumer Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THEHEY</td>
<td>Health Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THEEMSY</td>
<td>Methodology and Statistics in Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THEOHY</td>
<td>Occupational Health Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6464THESOY</td>
<td>Social and Organisational Psychology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6465CHT20Y</td>
<td>Clinical and Health Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6465CNT20Y</td>
<td>Cognitive Neuroscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6465DPT20Y</td>
<td>Developmental Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6465SOT20Y</td>
<td>Social and Organisational Psychology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Process:

- **Practical input**
  To what extent has the student invested time / effort and showed research skills in the various stages of the research?

- **Intellectual input**
  To what extent did the student contribute independently to the final product?

- **Ethical aspects**
  To what extent has the student paid sufficient attention to e.g. professional treatment of participants and conscientious use of data and scientific sources?

### Evaluation of process (U, S or G):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Content: Thesis

- **Abstract**
  To what extent is the Abstract prepared in accordance with the requirements of relevant journals?

- **Introduction**
  To what extent does the Introduction reflect a good range of relevant literature, a good conceptual flow and relevant aims and hypotheses?

- **Method**
  To what extent does the Method section include all major elements, such as description of the design, sample, measures, procedures, and statistical choices in such a way that the study could be readily replicated?

- **Results**
  To what extent does the Results section report on analyses appropriate to the research questions? To what extent has the student paid attention to accuracy?

- **Discussion**
  To what extent does the Discussion section include all major elements?

- **References**
  APA rules in text and literature lists.

- **Overall presentation**
  Is the presentation of the thesis adequate with regards to overall lay-out, structure, title, tables, statistics, appendices, APA rules etc.?

- **Style of writing**
  To what extent does the student’s writing style reflect effort / capacity to write clearly / engagingly?

### Evaluation of content (10-point scale):

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Suggestion for final grade:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Additional remarks:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Assessment form Master Thesis Psychology B

**Second examiner**

Name Student:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Student ID:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Master Programme: MSc Psychology / MSc Psychology (research)

Master Specialisation:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

A. Name first examiner  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Institute of Psychology, Unit  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

B. Name second examiner  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Institute of Psychology, Unit  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

C. Name external supervisor (if applicable):  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Affiliation  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Title thesis:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

…………………………………………………………………………………………

Advice on final grade / grade range  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Date:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Name second examiner  
…………………………………………………………………………………………

Signature:  
…………………………………………………………………………………………
Process (to be assessed only by the first examiner and the external supervisor, if applicable)

- Practical input
  To what extent has the student invested time / effort and showed research skills in the various stages of the research?

- Intellectual input
  To what extent is the final product a reflection of the student’s independent contribution?

- Ethical aspects
  To what extent has the student paid sufficient attention to e.g. professional treatment of participants and conscientious use of data and scientific sources?

Content: Thesis

- Abstract
  To what extent is the Abstract prepared in accordance with the requirements of relevant journals?

- Introduction
  To what extent does the Introduction reflect a good range of relevant literature, a good conceptual flow and relevant aims and hypotheses?

- Method
  To what extent does the Method section include all major elements, such as description of the design, sample, measures, procedures, and statistical choices in such a way that the study could be readily replicated?

- Results
  To what extent does the Results section report on analyses appropriate to the research questions? To what extent has the student paid attention to accuracy?

- Discussion
  To what extent does the Discussion section include all major elements?

- References
  APA rules in text and literature lists.

- Overall presentation
  Is the presentation of the thesis adequate with regards to overall lay-out, structure, title, tables, statistics, appendices, APA rules etc.?

- Style of writing
  To what extent does the student’s writing style reflect effort / capacity to write clearly / engagingly?

Evaluation of content (10-point scale):

Additional remarks:
Assessment Form Master Thesis Psychology C

External supervisor

Name Student: ...........................................................................................................

Student ID: ............................................................................................................

Master Programme MSc Psychology / MSc Psychology (research)

Master Specialisation: .............................................................................................

A. Name first examiner .............................................................................................

   Institute of Psychology, Unit ...............................................................................

B. Name second examiner ....................................................................................... 

   Institute of Psychology, Unit ...............................................................................

C. Name external supervisor ....................................................................................

   Affiliation .............................................................................................................

Title thesis: .............................................................................................................

.............................................................................................................................

Advice on final grade / grade range ........................................................................

Date: .....................................................................................................................

Name external supervisor ........................................................................................

Signature:
Process:

- **Practical input**: To what extent has the student invested time/effort and showed research skills in the various stages of the research?

- **Intellectual input**: To what extent did the student contribute independently to the final product?

- **Ethical aspects**: To what extent has the student paid sufficient attention to e.g. professional treatment of participants and conscientious use of data and scientific sources?

Evaluation of process (U, S or G):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Content: Thesis

- **Abstract**: To what extent is the Abstract prepared in accordance with the requirements of relevant journals?

- **Introduction**: To what extent does the Introduction reflect a good range of relevant literature, a good conceptual flow and relevant aims and hypotheses?

- **Method**: To what extent does the Method section include all major elements, such as description of the design, sample, measures, procedures, and statistical choices in such a way that the study could be readily replicated?

- **Results**: To what extent does the Results section report on analyses appropriate to the research questions? To what extent has the student paid attention to accuracy?

- **Discussion**: To what extent does the Discussion section include all major elements?

- **References**: APA rules in text and literature lists.

- **Overall presentation**: Is the presentation of the thesis adequate with regards to overall lay-out, structure, title, tables, statistics, appendices, APA rules etc.?

- **Style of writing**: To what extent does the student’s writing style reflect effort/capacity to write clearly/engagingly?

Evaluation of content (10-point scale):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional remarks:
Every thesis is to be stored in the Leiden University Student Repository. All parties involved in this thesis indicate their opinion regarding its availability for the public below. Only with consent by all under mentioned parties involved will publication take place. Open to the public means that the thesis is retrievable by search engines such as Google. Full embargo implies storage in the Student Repository but only accessible by staff for quality assessment purposes. **Note: If there is a full embargo on the thesis the student him of herself is also prohibited to make the thesis publicly available.**

**Student:**
[Name]  
[Signature]  
[Date]

**First Examiner:**
[Name]  
[Signature]  
[Date]

**External supervisor (if applicable):**
[Name]  
[Agency]  
[Signature]  
[Date]

**Keywords, included in the Student Repository (min 1, max 5):**
